UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,216	10/23/2006	Martin Mastenbroek	2005-1038	9725
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/22/201 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St	treet	QUINN, COLLEEN M		
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/584,216	MASTENBROEK, MARTIN
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		COLLEEN M. QUINN	3634
The MAILING D. Period for Reply	ATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STAT WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be av after SIX (6) MONTHS from t - If NO period for reply is speci - Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING Devailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The mailing date of this communication. If the dabove, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statutice later than three months after the mailing	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE ng date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action is FII 3) ☐ Since this applic	ation is in condition for allowa	November 2009. is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) i 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and</u>		drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection to the ving sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the I e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob Examiner. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119		
a) All b) Som 1. Certified comparison of application	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority from the International Burea	nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1)		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's P Information Disclosure Sta Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/2</u>. 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3634

Priority

Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged *but does appear to be proper*.

The priority claim for this instant application (10584216) goes to two (2) foreign patent applications filed in The Netherlands (Netherlands apps. 1025127 and 1025821), and the PCT (PCT/NL2004/000894) that corresponds with those foreign filed patent applications. However, the US National stage application that is related to the two applications that the priority is directed to (Netherlands apps. 1025127 and 1025821) and PCT (PCT/NL2004/000894) is US application 10584215, *not* this instant application 10584216. It appears the foreign priority claim may have been a mistake due to the closely related US application numbers, since the instant application is a different invention than those inventions disclosed in the foreign applications in The Netherlands and the corresponding PCT- all of which disclose the invention of another US application (10584215).

The applicant is required to correct this misfiling of foreign priority claim to clearly disclose the correct foreign related filings, if any at all. The applicant is invited to contact the undersigned examiner with any questions regarding this issue.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 9 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on November 13th, 2009, in which the applicant elected **Species A**, **Figures 1-2A**. The applicant suggested that all claims 1-20 were readable on these figures, however claims 9 and 20 are directed to Species C, which teaches a safety device with a resilient anchoring means not taught in Species A. Claims 1-8 and 10-19 were examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed June 23rd, 2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of <u>each cited foreign patent document</u>; <u>each non-patent literature publication</u> or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Currently claim 2 states that the fastening net is attached to the fastening flap, when it is already disclosed in

claim 1 that the fastening net extends from the fastening flap. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosenblum (US 3,424134). Rosenblum discloses a safety device (A; figures 1 & 2) for a fall restraint (120a, 121), comprising anchoring means (92) to which the fall restraint can be coupled directly or indirectly (figures 1 & 2), and comprising fastening means (100, 110) for a firm and durable connection to an object (figures 1 & 2), characterized in that the fastening means comprise a flexible fastening flap (100, 110) from which a fastening net (90) extends, and that the anchoring means (92) are connected via the fastening net (figures 1 & 2) to the flexible fastening flap (figures 1 & 2); wherein the fastening net (90) is integrated in the fastening flap (layered between 100 & 110; figures 1, 2 & 7); the fastening net being strengthened with longitudinal threads and transverse threads (figures 1 & 2) and is manufactured at least partially from plastic (nylon webbing; col. 5, lines 13-16), and wherein the anchoring means is a ring/loop/eyelet (92; figures 1 & 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8 and 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The applicant is invited to call the undersigned examiner with any questions regarding this indicated allowable subject matter and potential future amendments.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to COLLEEN M. QUINN whose telephone number is (571)272-6289. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on (571) 272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,216 Page 6

Art Unit: 3634

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634

/Colleen M Quinn/ Examiner, Art Unit 3634